

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/627,927	07/28/2003	Koenraad Gieskes	UIC-219	3645
41245	7590 07/15/2005		EXAMINER	
MARK LEVY & ASSOCIATES, PLLC PRESS BUILDING, SUITE 902 19 CHENANGO STREET BINGHAMTON, NY 13901			NOLAND, THOMAS	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2856	
			DATE MAILED: 07/15/2005	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Δ	1
-	

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/627,927	GIESKES, KOENRAAD			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Thomas P. Noland	2856			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status	•.				
 1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 Ag 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-4 is/are withdrawn 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 5-11 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	from consideration.				
Application Papers		•			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on July 28, 2003 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	\square accepted or b) \square objected to l drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/627,927

Art Unit: 2856

Page 2

1. Applicant's election with traverse of the invention of group 2, claims 5-11 in the reply filed on April 11, 2005 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that it is believed the process of group 2 would require an apparatus like that of group 1 because applicant's housing is the only structure that could be used to support the camera as claimed. It is also argued that the claimed apparatus could not be used to perform a different method or that it could be done manually. This is not found persuasive because of the reasons advanced in the original requirement. Clearly the apparatus claims have specific structural details not specifically required by the claim language of group 2 and not readily appearing to be inherently required. Even if the claimed apparatus could not be used to perform a different process or the process could not be done manually such is irrelevant since the claims are restrictable for the reasons given.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

- 2. Claims 1-4 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on April 11, 2005.
- 3. Applicant is requested to cancel claims 1-4 in any response hereto.
- 4. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: In the specification on page1, in line 6 after 2001 ---, and now patent no. 6,625,878 should be inserted. The status of application no. 10/307,848 (page 1, line 4) should be

Art Unit: 2856

updated in any response herein, if patented or abandoned, until allowance or abandonment herein.

Appropriate correction is required.

- 5. The drawings are objected to because in fig. 2 box elements 202, 210 and 212 should be identified with appropriate legends. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 6. In addition to Replacement Sheets containing the corrected drawing figure(s), applicant is required to submit a marked-up copy of each Replacement Sheet including

annotations indicating the changes made to the previous version. The marked-up copy must be clearly labeled as "Annotated Sheets" and must be presented in the amendment or remarks section that explains the change(s) to the drawings. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)(1). Failure to timely submit the proposed drawing and marked-up copy will result in the abandonment of the application.

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 8. Claims 5-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e and possibly a) as being anticipated by Skunes et al US 6.535,291.

Claims 5-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Skunes et al US 6,744,999.

Note abstract and drawing, especially fig. 3.

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2856

10. Claims 5 and 7-11 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 2 of copending Application No. 10/307,848. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention of claim 2 of 10/307,848 was made that the vision system of claim 2 of 10/307,848 could have been a camera to ease image taking and analysis. One of ordinary skill could clearly use such images to determine the position and correct it as claimed in order to better place the components. It is well known to be able to deduce positions from image data.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

11. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double

Application/Control Number: 10/627,927

Art Unit: 2856

patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly

owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a

terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with

37 CFR 3.73(b).

12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure. Haan et al US 4,875, 285 is the US equivalent to the EP

document cited by applicant but not considered. The other references show calibration

of pick and place machines.

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tom Noland whose telephone number is (571) 272-

2202. The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays from 9:00 to 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Mr. Hezron E. Williams, can be reached on (571) 272-2208.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding

is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to 2800 Customer Service at (571) 272-2815.

Thomas P. Noland
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2856

Noland/ds

06/28/05

THOMAS P. NOLAND PRIMARY EXAMINER

GROUP 2500

Thomas Lld

Page 6